## Next

## Fun With Haskell: Sample Problems and Testing

Nathaniel Wesley Filardo

January 18, 2012

Math 0000000000 00000000

Metadata Questions?

• Any questions from last time?

Metadata Overview of today

- Mostly intended for people to ask questions.
- Review using exercises from CalTech [1].
- Also: introduction to automated model checking:
  - QuickCheck.
  - SmallCheck / LazySmallCheck.

- We're going to write our own ++.
- Ultimately, using foldr. First, directly.
- What do we need?

- We're going to write our own ++.
- Ultimately, using foldr. First, directly.
- What do we need?
  - Specification!

Next

- We're going to write our own ++.
- Ultimately, using foldr. First, directly.
- What do we need?
  - Specification!
  - "Append takes two lists, a and b, and returns a list composed of the elements of a in a-order followed by the elements of b in b-order."

• "Append takes two lists, a and b, and returns a list composed of the elements of a in a-order followed by the elements of b in b-order."

- "Append takes two lists, a and b, and returns a list composed of the elements of a in a-order followed by the elements of b in b-order."
- OK, yes, but...

- "Append takes two lists, a and b, and returns a list composed of the elements of a in a-order followed by the elements of b in b-order."
- OK, yes, but...
- How about we try some induction?
  - What to induct over?

- "Append takes two lists, a and b, and returns a list composed of the elements of a in a-order followed by the elements of b in b-order."
- OK, yes, but...
- How about we try some induction?
  - What to induct over?
  - What's the base case?
  - What's the induction step?

- "Append takes two lists, a and b, and returns a list composed of the elements of a in a-order followed by the elements of b in b-order."
- Induct on the length of a.
  - Base case?
  - Induction case?

- "Append takes two lists, a and b, and returns a list composed of the elements of a in a-order followed by the elements of b in b-order."
- Induct on the length of a.
  - Base case?
    - a is nil. What should append do?
  - Induction case?

- "Append takes two lists, a and b, and returns a list composed of the elements of a in a-order followed by the elements of b in b-order."
- Induct on the length of a.
  - Base case?
    - a is nil. What should append do?
  - Induction case?
    - a is cons of ah and at. What should append do?

• a is nil. What should append do?

appendList [] b = b

• a is nil. What should append do?

appendList [] b = b

• a is cons of ah and at. What should append do?

appendList (ah:at) b = ah : (appendList at b)

• So, all together:

appendList [] b = b appendList (ah:at) b = ah : (appendList at b)

• Let's do a quick sanity check using QuickCheck:

> import Test.QuickCheck
> quickCheck (\a b -> appendList a b == a ++ b)
+++ OK, passed 100 tests.

• Or a more verbose sanity check using QuickCheck:

• Hey! That's only sort of helpful!

Mathematical Examples Appending Lists Using foldr

• Or a more verbose sanity check using QuickCheck:

- Hey! That's only sort of helpful!
- appendList and ++ are polymorphic; QuickCheck chose to use ().

Mathematical Examples Appending Lists Using foldr

• Or a more verbose sanity check using QuickCheck:

- Hey! That's only sort of helpful!
- appendList and ++ are polymorphic; QuickCheck chose to use ().
- We'd rather it test on something with more than one constructor.

• Let's tell it to use Ints:

• Much better!

• Let's tell it to use Ints:

- Much better!
- (Could use {-# LANGUAGE ScopedTypeVariables #-} at the top of a file, too.)

• Now, remember foldr?

foldr f z [] = z foldr f z (x:xs) = x 'f' (foldr f z xs)

• Now, remember foldr?

foldr f z [] = z
foldr f z (x:xs) = x 'f' (foldr f z xs)

- Alternatively:
  - Given any g:

• Then g = foldr f z.

Mathematical Examples Appending Lists Using foldr

• So we have:

appendList [] b = b
appendList (ah:at) b = ah : (appendList at b)

• Does that look like?

g [] = z g (x:xs) = f x (g xs)

• Sort of.

• So we have:

appendList [] b = b appendList (ah:at) b = ah : (appendList at b)

Does that look like?

g [] = z g (x:xs) = f x (g xs)

- Sort of.
- Flip arguments:

alf b [] = b alf b (ah:at) = ah : (alf b at)

So now we have

alf b [] = b alf b (ah:at) = ah : (alf b at)

• So the universal property of foldr tell us:

alf b = foldr (:) b

• So, making the other argument explicit:

alf b a = foldr (:) b a

• And, finally, recalling the definition of alf:

appendList a b = foldr (:) b a

Just checking:

Next

- The core of insertion sort.
- Specification?
  - Given an ascending-ordered list ys of orderable things, and another thing of the same type x, return the ordered list containing x and all elements of ys.
  - Note: if x is equal to something in ys, the above specification says we return a list with two equal elements.

• Base case?

ascInsert x [] = [x]

• Inductive step?

ascInsert x (y:ys) = -- ...

• What do we need to do?

ascInsert x (y:ys) = -- ...

• What do we need to do?

ascInsert x (y:ys) = -- ...

- What do we need to do?
- compare x y:

ascInsert x (y:ys) = case compare x y of
{- ... -}

ascInsert x (y:ys) = -- ...

- What do we need to do?
- compare x y:

ascInsert x (y:ys) = case compare x y of
{- ... -}

• Easy case arm first:

ascInsert x (y:ys) = case compare x y of GT -> y : (ascInsert x ys) {- ... -}

Mathematical Examples Inserting Into an Ordered List

```
ascInsert x [] = [x]
ascInsert x (y:ys) = case compare x y of
GT -> y : (ascInsert x ys)
{- ... -}
```

NEXT

Mathematical Examples Inserting Into an Ordered List

```
ascInsert x [] = [x]
ascInsert x (y:ys) = case compare x y of
GT -> y : (ascInsert x ys)
{- ... -}
```

• Only one other arm! So, in full:

```
ascInsert x [] = [x]
ascInsert x (y:ys) = case compare x y of
GT -> y : (ascInsert x ys)
_ -> x : y : ys
```

Mathematical Examples Inserting Into an Ordered List

```
ascInsert x [] = [x]
ascInsert x (y:ys) = case compare x y of
GT -> y : (ascInsert x ys)
{- ... -}
```

• Only one other arm! So, in full:

```
ascInsert x [] = [x]
ascInsert x (y:ys) = case compare x y of
GT -> y : (ascInsert x ys)
_ -> x : y : ys
```

• Note: not the right structure for foldr!

• Now, to test it!

- Now, to test it!
- Can't use arbitrary inputs! List needs to be ordered!
- One answer: ==> combinator from QuickCheck.

ascTest (x :: Int) ys =
 sorted ys ==> sorted (ascInsert x ys)

- Now, to test it!
- Can't use arbitrary inputs! List needs to be ordered!
- One answer: ==> combinator from QuickCheck.

ascTest (x :: Int) ys =
 sorted ys ==> sorted (ascInsert x ys)

• Problem: define sorted.

sorted [] = True
sorted [x] = True
sorted (x1:x2:xs) = x1 <= x2 && sorted (x2:xs)</pre>

```
ascTest (x :: Int) ys =
   sorted ys ==> sorted (ascInsert x ys)
```

• So, run QuickCheck!

\*Main> quickCheck ascTest
\*\*\* Gave up Passed only 46 tests.

```
ascTest (x :: Int) ys =
   sorted ys ==> sorted (ascInsert x ys)
```

• So, run QuickCheck!

\*Main> quickCheck ascTest
\*\*\* Gave up Passed only 46 tests.

- That doesn't sound good.
- What happened?

```
ascTest (x :: Int) ys =
```

sorted ys ==> sorted (ascInsert x ys)

• So, run QuickCheck!

\*Main> quickCheck ascTest
\*\*\* Gave up Passed only 46 tests.

- That doesn't sound good.
- What happened?
  - Most lists aren't sorted!
  - Our pre-condition said to throw almost all of them out!

- One of the motivations for LazySmallCheck.
- The ==> combinator could use laziness to determine when it's generated an unacceptable input and stop early.
- So, if we use the ==> operator from LazySmallCheck:
  - Note: idential syntax, different imports.
  - Or see the whole file for qualified names.

```
ascTestL (x :: Int) ys =
   sorted ys ==> sorted (ascInsert x ys)
```

• And run its smallCheck function:

```
> smallCheck 2 ascTestL
OK, required 2 tests at depth 0
OK, required 10 tests at depth 1
OK, required 43 tests at depth 2
```

- QuickCheck has some special cases for this:
  - Notably, the OrderedList a type, which is guaranteed to only generate ordered lists.

```
ascTest2 (x :: Int) (Ordered ys) =
  sorted ys ==> sorted (ascInsert x ys)
```

• And so if we run that...

\*Main> quickCheck ascTest2
+++ OK, passed 100 tests.

• See the documentation for more.

- So, foldr naturally captures "in-order" traversal of a list.
- What about in-order traversals of other structures?

Meta o o Math 0000000000 000000000 Next

## Next time

• You tell me?

Mei o Next

## Bib

Available from: http://courses.cms.caltech.edu/ cs11/material/haskell/index.html.