Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00 00 0			

# Fun With Haskell: Off to the Races

Nathaniel Wesley Filardo

January 18, 2012

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
•		00 00 0			

Metadata Questions?

- Any questions from last time?
- Sorry about running over; the remaining slides have been hauled into this deck.

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00			
		00			
		0			

- Hey! Sometimes we want more than one!
  - Last week: Maybe, Reader, State, ... Monads
  - This week: IO
- How can we get Readers that can do IO too?
  - It would be sad if we couldn't!

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00			
		00			
		0			

- Hey! Sometimes we want more than one!
  - Last week: Maybe, Reader, State, ... Monads
  - This week: IO
- How can we get Readers that can do IO too?
  - It would be sad if we couldn't!
- Disclaimer: this is, I think, one of the places where Haskell can use some more work. Quite recently, there is Monatron [1] which brings a lot of this onto better mathematical foundations.
- Relatedly, The Monad Zipper [4] shows a better way to manage stacks.

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00 00 0			

- Reader endowed a *pure* computation with additional (Monadic) functionality.
- We want something to *transform IO monadic* computations.

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00			
		0			

- Reader endowed a *pure* computation with additional (Monadic) functionality.
- We want something to *transform IO monadic* computations.
- Behold, the ReaderT in Control.Monad.Reader:

```
newtype ReaderT r m a =
   ReaderT {runReaderT :: r -> m a}
instance Monad m => Monad (ReaderT r m) --...
ask :: Monad m => ReaderT r m r
```

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00 00 0			

Monad Transformers

```
newtype ReaderT r m a =
   ReaderT {runReaderT :: r -> m a}
```

- ReaderT functions have an environment r, and produce an action in m that computes a value a.
- Reader is actually defined as a ReaderT on the **Identity Monad**:

newtype Identity a = Identity
 { runIdentity :: a }
instance Monad Identity where
 return a = Identity a
 m >>= k = k (runIdentity m)

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00			
		00			
		0			

- Alright, so Reader wasn't interesting.
- How about ReaderT on IO? We'd like:

main = runReaderT (ask >>= putStrLn) "Test"

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00			
		00			
		0			

- Alright, so Reader wasn't interesting.
- How about ReaderT on IO? We'd like:

main = runReaderT (ask >>= putStrLn) "Test"

- Type failure: Couldn't match expected type 'ReaderT String mO aO' with actual type 'IO ()'
- Oh right: putStrLn :: String -> IO ()

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00			
		00			
		0			

- Alright, so Reader wasn't interesting.
- How about ReaderT on IO? We'd like:

main = runReaderT (ask >>= putStrLn) "Test"

- Type failure: Couldn't match expected type 'ReaderT String mO aO' with actual type 'IO ()'
- Oh right: putStrLn :: String -> IO ()
- Need to make IO () into ReaderT r IO ().

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00			
		0			

• Monad transformers also specify how to "lift" actions from the wrapped monad:

```
class MonadTrans t where
   lift :: Monad m => m a -> t m a
```

```
instance MonadTrans (ReaderT r) --...
```

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00 00 0			

• Use lift:

class MonadTrans t where
 lift :: Monad m => m a -> t m a

• So:

• Often, don't have to lift: transformers defined so that, for example, an un-lifted ask always applies to the outermost ReaderT, even if there is stuff in the way.

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00 00 0			

In fact, stacks of transformers over IO and the need to lift into IO are so common that there's a special class and function:

class Monad m => MonadIO m where liftIO :: IO a -> m a instance MonadIO m => MonadIO (ReaderT r m) --...

So:

main = runReaderT
 (ask >>= liftIO . putStrLn)
 "Test"

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00			
		00			
		0			

- Transformer stacks get used in real software:
- "xmonad" is a X11 window manager in Haskell; it defines a core monad:

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00 00 0			

- A fistful of standard transformers:
  - ReaderT
  - StateT
  - WriterT (accumulate results monoidally)
  - RWST (Reader-Writer-State all in one)
  - MaybeT (partial functions)
  - ErrorT (pure throw/catch)
  - ContT (continuations!)

(For the moment, these are provided by the mtl package in the Haskell Platform. There is at least one ongoing effort to improve and likely replace it.)

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00			
		00			
		0			

- A brief word on stack ordering and effects:
  - Consider StateT, and a transformer for (pure) exceptions, ErrorT.
  - Two ways of stacking on top of m:
    - StateT s (ErrorT e m)

• ErrorT e (StateT s m)

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00 00 0			

- A brief word on stack ordering and effects:
  - Consider StateT, and a transformer for (pure) exceptions, ErrorT.
  - Two ways of stacking on top of m:
    - StateT s (ErrorT e m)
      - State backtracked when exception thrown.
      - i.e. catch handler runs with state as of the *start* of the wrapped computation.
    - ErrorT e (StateT s m)
      - State preserved when exception thrown.
      - catch handler runs with state changed by code up to the point of throw.

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00 00 0			

Monad Transformers

newtype ErrorT e m a = ErrorT
{ runErrorT :: m (Either e a) }
newtype StateT s m a = StateT
{ runStateT :: s -> m (a,s) }

• StateT s (ErrorT e m) - state backtracking:

runStateT :: s -> ErrorT e m (a,s)
-- runErrorT (runStateT act state)

• ErrorT e (StateT s m) - state preserving:

runErrorT :: StateT s m (Either e a)
-- runStateT (runErrorT act) state

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00 00 0			

The Control.Concurrent module and friends provide

- light-weight coroutine-style threads
- standard heavy-weight OS threads
- asynchronous exceptions
- inter-thread communication primitives
- (Bonus: the implementation abstracts over native event-driving mechanisms but presents straight-line code)

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00			
		00			
		0			

Intro to Explicit Concurrency

- How do we get these things?
- Primitive function:

```
forkIO :: IO () \rightarrow IO ThreadId
```

• Takes the RealWorld and makes two of them.

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00			
		00			
		0			

Intro to Explicit Concurrency

- How do we get these things?
- Primitive function:

forkIO :: IO ()  $\rightarrow$  IO ThreadId

- Takes the RealWorld and makes two of them.
- Yes, that means that anything we share between them is subject to the laundry-list of race condition woes.

Stack

Concur STM

Par

Next

Intro to Explicit Concurrency Race Conditions

• Race conditions?! Consider:

```
racer ref = forM_ [1..10000] $
   const $ modifyIORef ref (+1)
main = do
r <- newIORef 0
forkIO $ racer r
forkIO $ racer r
readIORef r >>= print
```

• Assuming both forked threads terminate before the readIORef, what does the last line print?

Stack

Concur 00 STM

Par

Next

Intro to Explicit Concurrency Race Conditions

- Real program in Racer.hs.
- Uses some stuff not yet discussed to ensure that the threads actually finish before printing.
- To actually run,

```
$ ghc --make -threaded -rtsopts Racer.hs
$ ./Racer +RTS -N2
```

• I ran the program a few times and got: 17973, 18724, 19263, 15035, 20000.

Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next

- Well that's no good.
- Classical answer: use a lock or atomic action.
  - In fact: atomicModifyIORef.
- An interesting Haskell answer: MVar in Control.Concurrent.Mvar (or just Control.Concurrent).
- Very similar to IORefs, but:

Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
	00 00 0			

- Well that's no good.
- Classical answer: use a lock or atomic action.
  - In fact: atomicModifyIORef.
- An interesting Haskell answer: MVar in Control.Concurrent.Mvar (or just Control.Concurrent).
- Very similar to IORefs, but:
  - May be either *full* or *empty*.

Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
	00 00 0			

- Well that's no good.
- Classical answer: use a lock or atomic action.
  - In fact: atomicModifyIORef.
- An interesting Haskell answer: MVar in Control.Concurrent.Mvar (or just Control.Concurrent).
- Very similar to IORefs, but:
  - May be either *full* or *empty*.
  - Taking an empty MVar blocks until somebody else puts.
  - Putting a full MVar blocks until somebody else takes.

Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
	••• ••			

- Well that's no good.
- Classical answer: use a lock or atomic action.
  - In fact: atomicModifyIORef.
- An interesting Haskell answer: MVar in Control.Concurrent.Mvar (or just Control.Concurrent).
- Very similar to IORefs, but:
  - May be either *full* or *empty*.
  - Taking an empty MVar blocks until somebody else puts.
  - Putting a full MVar blocks until somebody else takes.
  - Fair, depth-one producer/consumer queue.

Stack

CONCUR 0000 STM

Par

Next

Intro to Explicit Concurrency MVars

• Core API:

newEmptyMVar :: IO (MVar a)
newMVar :: a -> IO (MVar a)
takeMVar :: MVar a -> IO a
putMVar :: MVar a -> a -> IO ()

- (take and put are fair: FIFO and wake-one)
- Non-blocking variants tryTakeMVar and tryPutMVar.
- Exception-safe utilities like

modifyMVar :: MVar a -> (a -> IO (a,b)) -> IO b

Staci

CONCUR

STM

Par

Next

Intro to Explicit Concurrency Other Explicitly-Concurrent Tools

Building up from MVars, there are

- Chan: Unbounded, MPMC channels.
- QSem: Semaphores with take-one/release-one.
- QSemN: Semaphores with take-many/release-many.
- SampleVar: overwritable MVars.
  - Take from empty still blocks.
  - Write to full overwrites.
  - Use for sampling (ah ha), progress indicators, ...

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00			
		00			
		0			

#### Software Transactional Memory

- There's a lot to be said about concurrency.
- I would rather talk about something newer than the same old stuff.
- You probably either have seen or will see the standard fare, which applies equally well to Haskell.

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00			
		00			
		0			

#### Software Transactional Memory

#### Hah, I'm stealing Simon Peyton Jones' excellent slides. [3]

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00			
		0			

#### $Parallel\ Strategies$

# Your instructor steals again, this time using Andres Löh's excellent slides. [2]

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00			
		0			

Next time

• You tell me?

Meta	Stack	Concur	STM	Par	Next
0		00 00 0			

# Bib

Mauro Jaskelioff.

Monatron: an extensible monad transformer library. In *Implementation and Application of Functional Languages*, 2008.

- Andres Löh. Tutorial: Deterministic parallel programming in haskell, Oct 2011. Available from: http://www.well-typed.com/Hal6/ Presentation.pdf.
- Simon Peyton Jones.
   Haskell and transactional memory, April 2010.
   Available from: http://research.microsoft.com/ en-us/um/people/simonpj/papers/stm/#tokyo.

St	FACK	Concur	STM	Par	Next
		00 00 0			

Tom Schrjvers and Bruno C. d. S. Oliveira. Functional pearl: The monad zipper. 2010.

Available from: http://users.ugent.be/~tschrijv/ Research/papers/monad\_zipper\_draft.pdf.