IEN # 18 Supercedes: None Replaces: None

Section: 2.4.4.3

J.D. Burchfiel U.U. Plummer **R.S. lomlinson BBN** 26 October 1976

IEN # 18

Proposed Revisions to the TCP

Jerry D. Burchfiel William Plummer Raymond S. Tomlinson

Bolt, Beranek and Neuman, Inc. 50 Moulton Street Cambridge, Mass. 02173 10/26/1976

By way of example, consider the following "worst" case scenario:

- (1) Assume the Sender sees $a \quad B$ window and is blocked with 10 1-character letters waiting to be sent.
- (2) The receiver does one 10-character RECV and this 10 character window to the sends Sender.
- (3) The sender sees the uindou open and sends all 10 letters each with EOL. Note that the uindou has not been violated.
- (4) The Receiver receives the first of the ten letters and returns the one RECV buffer to his user because the EOL. forced completion of the buffer. An ACK is now returned to the Sender which specifies a window of Ø butes.
- (5) Since the netuork is slow, the. Sender continues to retransmit the 10 letters. Note that the initial retransmission rate is high in most implementations.
- The user on the Receive side does another (E) Since his TCP is being flooded with RECV. packets from the sender, he stands only a 1/10 probability of getting the "right" next backet. After some amount of processing in order to determine that the current packet is urong, he will try again.
- Eventually the sender receives the packet (7) saying that the window has closed down to zero, and ceases retransmitting. At this point one packet will have been ACKd and deleted from the retransmission queue.
- Somewhat later an ACK packet will arrive (8) uhich specifies another 10-character window. This is generated when the second RECV was This will allow the sender to done. 1-character letter, more denerate one replacing the one which was acknowledged.

 $-3-$

-1

TCP Revisions

Experiments with TCP have shown it to have marginal performance Under certain be lacking certain functions. and also buffer size mismatch source-destination letter size to conditions, real-time response has been poor and excessive network traffic generated. The CPU load caused by running TCP is much higher than with simpler, e.g. NCP, protocols. Suggestions are made in the following which will improve these deficiencies. Since several of the improvements will require new packet formats and large scale softuare changes to existing TCPs, we suggest that they all be done in one large change, yielding "Protocol Version 3".

$1.$ New Sequence Number Scheme

Because the current protocol permits using sequence number space without using (user) buffer space by sending control information (INTERRUPT, DSN, etc.) a receiving TCP cannot do partial, out of order reassembly of the incoming data; instead, it must store the packets and pass them to the user in order in case there might be information in one of the packets. This results in control complicated and time consuming computations in order to do the reassembly process and a high load on the free storage area if any reasonable size uindow is being used.

To correct this we will use a 24-bit sequence number space for data bytes and a 8-bit space for control information. Since control information is to be interpreted as occurring "between" data bytes so that overall sequencing remains correct, the control sequence may be thought of as a fraction of the data Many of the current routines which deal with sequence sequence. numbers in the current TCP will still work if the 24-bit data sequence is handled as the most significant bits of an overall 32-bit sequence number.

The advantage in this arrangement is that a receiving TCP can infer the address of each data byte by looking at the data sequence number at the time of reception. This allows placing the data in the user's buffer at this time; no actual reassembly is needed even though packets may arrive out of order.

For this scheme (and previous versions!) to function correctly, we require that an INTERRUPT not be sent if any other control is outstanding, especially a previous INTERRUPT. As with the previous protocols, nothing may be sent after the end of a sequence (i.e., after a DSN or FIN) without first re-establishing a valid sequence.

ICP Revisions

IEN $#18$

Assume 6 packets are sent: (1) 3 bytes of data, (2) Example: control bytes, (3) 2 controls, (4) 2 data, (5) 2 leading controls plus 3 data, and (6) 1 data bute. $\frac{1}{2}$ the current data sequence is 100 and the control sequence is 0, the packets will have the following sequence numbers:

> 100131,0101 ;3 of data at sequence 100. No control. 103101,0121 :2 controls, sequenced after previous packet. :2 more controls, after previous ones. 183101, 2121 :More data. Note control sequence. 103 [2], 4 [0] 105 [3], 012] :Data only. Note control sequence reset. 103111,0101 ; The final data.

Thus, in a packet containing both controls and data the controls are sequenced before the first data bute.

A problem arises when the 8-bit control sequence field overflous. To simply let the carry go into the data field almost works but destroys the simple relation between data sequence number and user bute address. The receiving ICP is made aware of the change with DSN. Thus, if a control byte is to be sent and the control sequence is at 377 (octal) a DSN is sent, followed by a SYN at ISN, Ø uhere ISN is the "initial sequence number" which is geared to time. Of course, DSN will continue to be used as it was in the old protocol in addition.

$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{L}}$ Windowing

During testing of TCPs using server and user programs unitten by different individuals, it was noted that transmission became very inefficient with many retransmissions required to get even a few The problem was eventually a connection. letters across identified as having to do with three factors:

- A mismatch in the size of the RECV buffers $\{I\}$ arge $\}$ \dot{x} and the size of the letters sent (small). This is the major problem area.
- Long delays in the netuork and/or slow processing in \dot{x} the ICPs, causing window information to be stale.
- The design choice made in the receiving ICP to \mathbf{y}^{\star}_t discard all packets on a connection except the one specifically required. This is a valid technique; retransmissions by the sender should eventually supply the needed packet.

 $-2 -$

TCP Revisions

IEN # 18

Should the user on the Send side Note: decide to suitch to 10-character buffers the improvement $with$ \pm ic performance not immediate. For some time afteruards the ICP $tri11$ chop the bigger buffers into 1-character packets, because the receiving TCP is acknouledging only single characters. Thus, the "bad" performance will continue until all of the single character letters have been acknowledged by the receiver. Until the improvement actually happens, both ends of the connection will be generating 10 times as many packets (in the example) as needed, and using 10 times as much computing pouer.

In this example, the culprit was the EOL which went along with the first letter. It was this which caused the window to decrease to 0. In effect it claimed the 9 unfilled bytes in the RECV buffer. But the Sender had no way of knowing this -- he has no information about how big the buffers are on the RECV side.

In general the situation arises because EOL (end-of-letter signal from a sender to a receiver) will claim an unknown amount of buffer space. The existing protocol has no way to cause the sender to decrease his available window by the number of bytes implicitly sent by the EOL which caused a RECV buffer – to In a sense it has been filled with non-existent bytes complete. which do get removed from the window because the buffer gets (by definition of EOL) returned to the user.

To cure this we extend the protocol by defining contents of the window field of a packet as being the size of the user's RECV buffers if (1) the sending TCP does not already know this for the connection in question, and (2), the number in the window field is not zero. Note: Receive buffer size remains constant for the life of the connection. To insure reliable transmission of the buffer size information, the ARQ control bit should be on in the packet which carries it. See Section 7.

buffer size information is used by the packetizer process in The a sending TCP to compute the actual amount of window space that can be used. Each actual data byte sent decreases the available window by one. Sending an EOL decreases the available window (advances the packetizing sequence number) by all of the bytes remaining in the current buffer (which may be zero). Sending an INTERRUPT will also cause completion of the receiver's current buffer. Packet ends need not correspond with buffer ends.

 $-4 -$

ſ

TCP Revisions

3. Checksum and Fragmentation

For the near future the original fragmenting concepts will be retained. Specifically, only the last fragment (marked with EOS) will carry a checksum. The checksum found in fragments with EUS on will be that for the entire segment, as copied from the This is an end-to-end check and has the fragmented parent. advantage of requiring little computation by gateways and makes it possible to change the current checksum function without Destination ICP's will not be changing all of the gateways. burdened by having to checksum the fragments.

With the exception of the BOS and EOS bits, the DataLength, and the SequenceNumber, the headers of all fragments can be the same. This is a consequence of the fact that none of the information in the packet (DSN, ACK, etc.) can be acted on until the whole It is not until then that the segment has been reassembled. segment checksum can be verified. After an entire segment has been reassembled, all of the information in the segment will be processed at one time and an ACK lissued. The fact that all fragment headers may be nearly the same simplifies the gateuay code since it does not have to be very selective in constructing No problem arises because tuo fragments, the fragment headers. have (say) two INIs on because the header was copied from – the parent.

There are a few comments which bear on segment reassembly. First, packets (fragments) which are outside of the window are rejected on the basis of their apparent, unchecked SequenceNumber ACKSequence (if not synchronized). If the packet has EUS on, or least conceptually, later for the entire header is saved, at the ACKSequence and Window of a checksum verification. Since packet may change from one retransmission to the next, care must exercised so that these fields and the checksum used for be validation are taken from the same packet header, $i.e.$ the one The checksum function is computed for the data marked with EOS. portion of the packet and "added" into what will be the checksum the entire segment. Since the SequenceNumber tells where in of the user's buffer this data belongs, the data may be transferred there directlu.

fragments are received and the data moved to the user's As the TCP must keep a table which describes the $butfer(s),$ of the segment which have-been-filled. Fragments portion(s) received from different gateways may overlap so not every packet processed will contribute to filling the missing-data-by-an amount equal to the DataLength of the fragment. The TCP must be able to know the data length of the original segment for use in the header checksum computation houever.

 $-5 -$

TCP Revisions

IEN # 18

When a set of fragments big enough to cover the lentire lsegment have been processed, the TCP will know the value of the checksum function over the data portion(s) and iii have. cuough information to reconstruct the header associated with the entire segment. The checksum of the header is generated and combined with, that for the data. If it is zero, the segment is valid and all of the control information including ACK if present may be acted on. If the segment checksum turns out bad, the entire segment is forgotten and the TCP uaits for retransmissions.

4. Half-Open Connections

A solution to the problem of dealing with half-open connections has been found. Because of this, there is no longer a need for the "RESET(connection)" control command, and TCP code has been made simpler.

Basically, the change is to the interpretation of the $E1447$ "no such ICB" error message. Iupically, this error will be generated by a TCP which has crashed and restarted upon receipt of a packet for a connection which existed before the crash. The ACKS equance of the error packet uill acknouledge the entire packet which provoked the error reply and its sequence number will be taken from the ACKSequence of the provoker, which guarantees that the packet will be acceptable for processing by its receiver. error A TCP receiving an acceptable EFP+7 error packet should delete the TCB associated with the connection and inform the user ouning the connection of the fact.

 $1[†]$ is possible for delayed copies of packets from a previous incarnation of a connection to arrive at a TCP which has already These will also elicit EFP+7 errors. closed the connection. Should a delayed copy of one of the error packets arrive at the end, which has by then opened a new incarnation of the other connection, it will not be acceptable for processing because (depending on the state of this end) its Sequence number or ACKSequence will be out of bounds due to the properties of the Sequence number selection algorithm.

ICP Revisions

IEN # 18

$5.$ ABORT User Call

A new user call "ABORT (connection)" has been implemented. This simply deletes the local copy of the ICB after sending an ELP47 error to the remote end. Note that sending this error packet is a courtesy and is not required. The other end will find out that the connection has gone away if it attempts to send a packet on it -- see the description above.

ABORT is intended to be used by a user when he has (for instance) given up on trying to CLOSE a connection. This can occur if the remote end has become very slow at processing data sent to it, and never makes it to the point of handling the FIN (which is sequenced).

ICP Revisions

6. Optional Information in Header

N.B. The discussion which follows pertains not only to TCP packets but also to InterNet packets.

Timestamp(s) and security information are examples of information which might appear in some packets but not others. Thus, it uould be uasteful to preaflocate fields in the header of all packets to hold this data. Instead, we will permit using the area between the end of the actual header and the beginning of the The presence of this optional information data. i s discovered by noticing that the HeaderLength of the packet - in question contains a number larger than the minimum header length required by the protocol.

Within the options area will be found any number of repetitions of the pattern "I bute of count, I byte of kind, and N butes of actual option information". This permits an arbitrary amount of option information to be added to any InterNet or ICP packet. \ln particular, multiple timestamps may be added.

One special code will be specified here: 0 in the count and kind bytes of any option will be understood to mean "this is a null option which occupies all of the space remaining in the options This assignment permits padding the header with bytes area". containing zeros as is now done in the TENEX TCP in order to align the beginning of the data area with a word boundary inside TENEX.

The fine-structure of options is not specified here. One rule to be followed in designing options is that they must be a multiple of 16 bits in length to avoid complicating programming in minicomputers. Multiples of 32 bits are favored even more due to limitations of some common higher-level languages.

should not confuse the InterNet Format field **The** reader indicating "Secure TCP" or not, and the (possible) existence of options of the kind "security information". The Format field is uhat purely a specification to gateways of fragmentation algorithm is to be used, independent of uhat is in the packet.

IFN # 18

TCP Revisions

7. Acknowledge Request (ARQ) Control Bit

frequently occurs that information such as window size or 1^t size must be passed reliably across a connection. buffer. Normally these are not acknouledged, but in some cases the sender must know that the information has been heard by the receiver. Thus the ARQ bit has been added to the Control Flags field of ICP packets. This occupies one slot in the control sequence space and must therefore be acknowledged by the receiver. The exact position of ARQ in the packet is shoun in section 11. ARQ is to be processed after INT (which is after SYN), but before the packet data or "trailing controls".

8. Delete FSH (Flush) Control Bit

FSH was originally intended to be used to "clear the pipe" so that a FIN could be forced through to the remote ICP. This was needed to guarantee prompt closing of connections. With the above discussion of half-open connections and the ABORI call, there is no longer a need for FSH.

9. Delete REJect

No use is seen for the REJect primitive in the protocol. It may safely be deleted.

10. Delete the T (Trace Control Bit)

bit of the ICP header control flags was previously used The \mathbf{T} Since timestamping is an InterNet to control timestamping. function which can apply to non-ICP packets, it cannot be controlled by a TCP header bit. The definition of the I bit has been deleted.

Version 3 Packet Format. $11.$

The revised packet format is shown below. It is nearly the same as the Version 2 format. The major differences are in the deletion of several control bits, and the space provided for options.

ICP Revisions

10/26/1976

InterNet Header (11.5 bytes) Bute \mathbb{R} : Destination Network Butes $1-3$: Destination TCP (host) Bute Source Network $4:$ Butes 5-7: Source TCP (host) Butes 8-9: Data Length (in bytes) Bute $10:$ Header Length (in bytes) Bute $11:$ 13 Hex Format=1 for TCP. (2 for Secure TCP, etc.) $Bits$ $0-3:$ **TCP Header** Bits 4-7: TCP Protocol Version = 3 Sequence Number Butes 12-15: Bits 0-23: Data Sequence Bits 24-31: Control Sequence **Butes 16-17:** Window for data Bytes 18-19: Control Flags Bit $\mathbf{0}$: SYN Bit ACK $1:$ Bit $2:$ **FIN USN** Bit $3:$ Bit $4:$ EOS ECIL Bit $5:$ **INT** Bit 6: Bit $7:$ (unused) Bit $8:BBS$ Bit 9: (unused) 10: ARQ Bit Bits $11-12$: (unused) Bits 13-15: Control Dispatch (1 for ERROR, 2 for SPECIAL) Control Data (for ERRORs, etc.) $20:$ Bute Destination Port (socket) Butes 21-23: Byte $24:$ Label (for debugging) **Bytes 25-27:** Source Port (socket) ACK Sequence: Bytes 28-31: Checksum Butes 32-33: Optional Data begins here if HeaderLength $>$ 34. Option 0 Bytes $34 - (34 + 2 + 18 - 1)$: Byte 0: LØ (length of Option 0 in bytes) Bute 1: KØ (kind of Option 0) Data associated with Option 0 Bytes $2 - (2 + L0)$: Bytes $(34+2+L0) - (34+2+L0+2+L1-1):$ Option 1 Actual TCP Packet Data begins here Bytes (HeaderLength) - (HeaderLength+DataLength-1): Data